

SAMPLE BALLOT



SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
 GENERAL ELECTION
 November 06, 2012
 PAYNE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

PRECINCT 600001-REG

Page 1 / 2

TO VOTE:
 FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS:





Correct **Incorrect**

STRAIGHT PARTY
 A straight party vote is a vote for all candidates of that party in partisan races.

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING
 (Vote for One)

DEMOCRATIC 

REPUBLICAN 

PRESIDENTIAL

ELECTORS FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
 (Vote for One)

FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
BARACK OBAMA
JOE BIDEN
 DEMOCRAT

FOR ELECTORS
 ISABEL BAKER
 DOUG DODD
 CARL DOWNING
 CONNIE JOHNSON
 JUDY EASON McINTYRE
 MACK MILLER
 MARTHA SKEETERS

FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
MITT ROMNEY
PAUL RYAN
 REPUBLICAN

FOR ELECTORS
 DAVID HOLT
 LYNN WINDEL
 LAWRENCE A. WILLIAMSON
 JOE PETERS
 MARK THOMAS
 JASON COWEN
 DUANE CRUMBACHER

CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 03
 (Vote for One)

TIMOTHY RAY MURRAY
 DEMOCRAT

FRANK D. LUCAS
 REPUBLICAN

WILLIAM M. SANDERS
 INDEPENDENT

LEGISLATIVE AND COUNTY OFFICERS

FOR COUNTY CLERK
 (Vote for One)

LINDA HATFIELD
 DEMOCRAT

GLENNA CRAIG
 REPUBLICAN

COUNTY QUESTIONS

PROPOSITION NO. 1
 Shall Payne County, Oklahoma be authorized to levy and assess a County Sales Tax of One-Sixteenth Of One Percent (1/16 of 1%) provided that the revenues from such Tax be used for the purpose of operations, equipment purchases and capital outlay of Fire Departments located in Payne County, Oklahoma, and provided further that said Tax shall become effective April 1, 2013, and be limited in duration to Five (5) Years, terminating on March 31, 2018?
 SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSITION - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSITION - NO

PROPOSITION NO. 2
 Shall the sale of alcoholic beverages by the individual drink for on-premises consumption be permitted within Payne County, Oklahoma on Sunday, the first day of the week?
 SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSITION - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSITION - NO

JUDICIAL RETENTION
 Vote separately on each justice or judge; they are not running against each other.

JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 3
 Shall NOMA D. GURICH of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 4
 Shall YVONNE KAUGER of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 7
 Shall JAMES E. EDMONDSON of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 8
 Shall DOUGLAS L. COMBS of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS DISTRICT 1
 Shall CLANCY SMITH of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS DISTRICT 4
 Shall ARLENE JOHNSON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS DISTRICT 5
 Shall DAVID B. LEWIS of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 3 - OFFICE 1
 Shall P. THOMAS THORNBRUGH of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 4 - OFFICE 1
 Shall WILLIAM C. HETHERINGTON, JR. of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1
 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2
 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE

SAMPLE BALLOT

SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
November 06, 2012
PAYNE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

PRECINCT 600001-REG

Page 2 / 2

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 6 - OFFICE 1

Shall E. BAY MITCHELL, III of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

STATE QUESTIONS

STATE QUESTION NO. 758 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 358

This measure amends the State Constitution. It amends Section 8B of Article 10.

The measure deals with real property taxes also called ad valorem taxes. These taxes are based on several factors. One factor is the fair cash value of the property.

The measure changes the limits on increases in fair cash value. Now, increases are limited to 5% of fair cash value in any taxable year.

The measure changes the cap on increases to 3% for some property. The 3% cap would apply to homestead exempted property. The cap would also apply to agricultural land.

The measure also removes obsolete language.
SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 759 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 359

This measure adds a new section to the State Constitution. It adds Section 36 to Article II.

The measure deals with three areas of government action. These areas are employment, education and contracting.

In these areas, the measure does not allow affirmative action programs. Affirmative action programs give preferred treatment based on race, color or gender. They also give preferred treatment based on ethnicity or national origin. Discrimination on these bases is also not permitted.

The measure permits affirmative action in three instances. 1. When gender is a bonafide qualification, it is allowed. 2. Existing court orders and consent decrees that require preferred treatment will continue and can be followed. 3. Affirmative action is allowed when needed to keep or obtain federal funds.

The measure applies to the State and its agencies. It applies to counties, cities and towns. It applies to school districts. It applies to other State subdivisions.

The measure applies only to actions taken after its approval by the people.
SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 762 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 360

This measure amends Section 10 of Article 6 of the Oklahoma Constitution. It changes current law, decreasing the power and authority of the Governor by removing the Governor from the parole process for persons convicted of certain offenses defined as nonviolent offenses. It enlarges the power and authority of the Pardon and Parole Board by authorizing that Board, in place of the Governor, to grant parole to persons convicted of certain offenses defined as nonviolent offenses.

The Legislature defines what offenses are nonviolent offenses and the Legislature may change that definition.

The measure authorizes the Pardon and Parole Board to recommend to the Governor, but not to itself grant, parole for persons convicted of certain offenses, specifically those offenses identified by law as crimes for which persons are required to serve not less than eighty-five percent of their sentence prior to being considered for parole and those designated by the Legislature as exceptions to nonviolent offenses. For those offenses for which persons are required to serve a minimum mandatory period of confinement prior to being eligible to be considered for parole, the Pardon and Parole Board may not recommend parole until that period of confinement has been served.
SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 764 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 361

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It adds a new Section 39A to Article 10. It would allow the Oklahoma Water Resources Board to issue bonds. Any bonds issued would be used to provide a reserve fund for the Board. The fund would be a reserve fund for certain water resource and sewage treatment funding programs. The fund could only be used to pay other bonds and obligations for the funding programs. The bonds could only be issued after other monies and sources are used for repayment. The bonds would be general obligation bonds. Not more than Three Hundred Million Dollars worth of bonds could be issued. The Legislature would provide the monies to pay for the bonds. The Legislature would provide for methods for issuing the bonds. The Legislature would provide for how the fund is administered.
SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 765 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 362

The measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It abolishes the Oklahoma Department of Human Services, the Oklahoma Commission of Human Services and the position of Director of the Oklahoma Department of Human Services. These entities were created under different names by Sections 2, 3 and 4 of Article 25 of the Oklahoma Constitution and given duties and responsibilities related to the care of the aged and needy. The measure repeals these sections of the Constitution and consequently, removes the power of the Commission of Human Services to establish policy and adopt rules and regulations. Under the measure, the Legislature and the people by initiative petition retain the power to adopt legislation for these purposes.

The measure adds a provision to the Constitution authorizing the Legislature to create a department or departments to administer and carry out laws to provide for the care of the aged and the needy. The measure also authorizes the Legislature to enact laws requiring the newly-created department or departments to perform other duties.
SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 766 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 363

This measure amends Section 6A of Article 10 of the Oklahoma Constitution. At present that section exempts some intangible personal property from ad valorem property taxation. This measure would exempt all intangible personal property from ad valorem property taxation.

An ad valorem property tax is a tax imposed upon the value of property.

Intangible Personal Property is property whose value is not derived from its physical attributes, but rather from what it represents or evidences.

Intangible Personal Property which is still currently taxed but would not be taxed if the measure is adopted, includes items such as:

- patents, inventions, formulas, designs, and trade secrets;
- licenses, franchise, and contracts;
- land leases, mineral interests, and insurance policies;
- custom computer software; and
- trademarks, trade names and brand names.

If adopted, the measure would apply to property taxation starting with the tax year that begins on January 1, 2013.
SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE